

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/657,404	09/08/2000	Weimin Sun	279.279US1	3413
21186	7590 10/01/2002			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 29			OPOPEZA E	DANCES P
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55402		OROPEZA, FRANCES P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action	09/657,404	SUN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frances P. Oropea	za 3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover :	sheet with the correspondence	e address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi - Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however iteration. days, a reply within the statutory minim to the property and will expire SI. If yo statute, cause the application to be a second to be a secon	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered to X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the	hin nammuniantian
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>08 September 2000</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b	n)⊠ This action is non-fina	al.	
Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic Disposition of Claims	or allowance except for for e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	mal matters, prosecution as to 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	o the merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		ion.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	on and/or election requirem	ent.	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>9/8/00</u> is/are: a	☐ accepted or b)⊠ objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objec			(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed o	on is: a)∏ approved	b) disapproved by the Exar	miner.
If approved, corrected drawings are requi		n.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been receive	ed.	
2. Certified copies of the priority do			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internati * See the attached detailed Office action f 	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	nal Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fora) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu			nai application).
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for			
Attachment(s)	, ,	55 - 25 - 25 - 25	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	-948) 5) 🗍 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper otice of Informal Patent Application (her:	No(s) (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Pa	ort of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 09/657,404

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 15, line 2, "the patient" lacks antecedent basis.

In claims 1 and 15, line 4, "the patient's maximum exercise capacity" lacks antecedent basis.

Relative to claims 1 and 3 and claims 15 and 17, in claims 1 and 15, line 11, "a specified period time" is awkward and unclear. When amending, the Examiner calls attention to the phrase "a specified time period" in claims 3 and 17, lines 4-5, so the Applicant can clearly amend as distinguish these elements.

In claims 3, and 17, line 6, "the slope" lacks antecedent basis.

Claims 3 and 17 are unclear because in line 7, it appears "a specified maximum sensor indicated rate" should be --the specified maximum sensor indicated rate--.

Claims 5 and 19 are unclear because in line 2, it appears "a slope" should be --the slope--.

In claims 10 and 24, line 2, ""the percentage" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 11 is unclear because in lines 7-8, it appears "a long-term maximum exertion level" should be --the long-term maximum exertion level--.

In claim 11, line 9, "the slope" lacks antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 09/657,404

Art Unit: 3762

In claim 11, lines 9-10, "the difference" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 11, lines 10-11, "the sensor target rate" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 13 is unclear because in line 1, it appears "a maximum exertion level" should be -the maximum exertion level--.

Claim 13 is unclear because in lines 1-2, it appears "a percentage" should be --the percentage--.

Claim 13 is unclear because in lines 3-4, it appears "a sensor target rate" should be --the sensor target rate--.

In claim 14, line 3, "the weekly average maximum sensor" lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kay et al. (US 6411850). Kay et al. disclose a method for automatically determining an anaerobic breakpoint for an adaptive rate pacemaker and for automatically adjusting the pacing rate based on the slope of the rate responsive curve. The cardiac pacemaker pacing signal is modified by the sensed

Application/Control Number: 09/657,404

Art Unit: 3762

ventilation and the pacing rate is modified in response to ventilatory breakpoint. The control circuit matches the peak ventilation, read as the MAR, with the maximum pacing rate (c 3, ll 13-30). Once the breakpoint is reached, the pulse is attenuated based on the rate response slope (c 5, ll 10-44; c 7, ll 20-37; figure 1). The dual slope curve of the respiratory rate (figure 1) and the breakpoint, read as the MSR, can be determined from data collected over a period of time to define the respiratory reserve by measuring ventilation during exercise (c 2, ll 48-65 and c 7, ll 20-24). The breakpoint and curve can also be determined using a pacemaker programmed to determine the ventilatory threshold and peak ventilation which then matches the peak ventilation to the maximal pacing rate (c 7, ll 1-10). Short-term average relative minute ventilation, 30 second, and long-term average relative minute ventilation, 2 hour, are used to regulate the sensor rate in correspondence to metabolic demand using a Response Factor (c 8, ll 22-42). The Response Factor slope, which maps the patient's respiratory reserve, is dynamically adjusted based on the maximum daily sensor rate excursions and a weekly average of these readings (c 8, ll 43-61). Historically, a fixed percentage of the respiratory reserve is noted as a means used to adjust the pacing rate (c 8, ll 54-57).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza whose telephone number is (703) 605-4355. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 6 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 306-4520 for regular communication and (703) 306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner Art Unit 3762

> JEFFREY R.JASTRZAB PRIMARY EXAMINER

> > 3762 9127/02